

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for review of the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information to: Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for OMB Control Number 2505-0302, Washington, DC 20503.

AFRL-SR-BL-TR-98-

roved
24-0188

ded, and completing and reviewing
vices, Directorate for Information

| | | |
|---|----------------|--|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | 2. REPORT DATE | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED |
| | 3 Feb 97 | FINAL TECH RPT, 01 JAN 94 TO 31 DEC 96 |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS |
| New Concepts in Computer Simulation-Surrogates | | F49620-94-1-0121 |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | |
| Professor Anthony T. Patera | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER |
| Dept of Mechanical Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge MA 02139 | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER |
| AFOSR/NM 110 Duncan Avenue Suite B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-8050 | | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE |
| Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. | | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) | | |
| <p>Although the advent of fast and inexpensive parallel computers has rendered numerous previously intractable calculations feasible, many numerical simulations remain too resource intensive to be directly inserted into engineering optimization efforts. An alternative to direct insertion considers models for computational systems: the expensive simulation is evoked only to construct and validate a simplified input-output model; this simplified input-output model then serves as a simulation surrogate in subsequent engineering optimization studies. As compared to the direct insertion approach, surrogates offer more complete, efficient, and robust optimization, greater accommodation of prior information, broad applicability to families of objective functions, and a more interactive, flexible design environment.</p> <p>In this project, a validated surrogate methodology was developed which permits economical and reliable integration of large-scale, possibly noisy numerical simulations into engineering design and optimization studies. The project included both the formulation, analysis, and implementation of construction-validations algorithms, and the application of the resulting techniques to problems of scientific and engineering interest. The sample application are intended to be sufficiently simple to permit numerical experiment, yet sufficiently complex to properly stimulate, constrain, and demonstrate the proposed methodology.</p> | | |

Although the advent of fast and inexpensive parallel computers has rendered numerous previously intractable calculations feasible, many numerical simulations remain too resource intensive to be directly inserted into engineering optimization efforts. An alternative to direct insertion considers models for computational systems: the expensive simulation is evoked only to construct and validate a simplified input-output model; this simplified input-output model then serves as a simulation surrogate in subsequent engineering optimization studies. As compared to the direct insertion approach, surrogates offer more complete, efficient, and robust optimization, greater accommodation of prior information, broad applicability to families of objective functions, and a more interactive, flexible design environment.

In this project, a validated surrogate methodology was developed which permits economical and reliable integration of large-scale, possibly noisy numerical simulations into engineering design and optimization studies. The project included both the formulation, analysis, and implementation of construction-validations algorithms, and the application of the resulting techniques to problems of scientific and engineering interest. The sample application are intended to be sufficiently simple to permit numerical experiment, yet sufficiently complex to properly stimulate, constrain, and demonstrate the proposed methodology.

| | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS parallel computers, robust optimization | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 4 | |
| DTIC QUALITY IMPROVED 2 | | | |
| | | 16. PRICE CODE | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL |

FINAL REPORT

AFOSR Grant F49620-94-1-0121

“New Concepts in Computer Simulation-Surrogates”

Principal Investigator:

**Anthony T. Patera
Professor of Mechanical Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Room 3-266
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139**

February 3, 1997

OVERVIEW

Although the advent of fast and inexpensive parallel computers has rendered numerous previously intractable calculations feasible, many numerical simulations remain too resource-intensive to be directly inserted into engineering optimization efforts. An attractive alternative to "direct insertion" considers models for computational systems: the expensive simulation is evoked only to construct and validate a simplified input-output model; this simplified input-output model then serves as a simulation surrogate in subsequent engineering optimization studies. As compared to the direct-insertion approach, surrogates offer more complete, efficient, and robust optimization, greater accommodation of prior information, broad applicability to families of objective functions, and a more interactive, flexible design environment.

In this project we have developed a validated surrogate methodology which permits economical and reliable integration of large-scale (possibly noisy) numerical simulations into engineering design and optimization studies. The project includes both the formulation, analysis, and implementation of construction-validation algorithms, and the application of the resulting techniques to problems of scientific and engineering interest. The sample applications are intended to be sufficiently simple to permit numerical experiment, yet sufficiently complex to properly stimulate, constrain, and demonstrate the proposed methodology.

Finally, we have interacted with United Technologies Research Center (UTRC) in two small grants which "leveraged" the AFOSR funding. The first grant, involving the integration of our methods into the UTRC simulation-based optimal design program, led to the application of our methods to certain problems of interest to Pratt and Whitney. The second grant focused on the particular issue of the effect of manufacturing tolerances on simulation-based design predictions.

TECHNICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. Basis Framework. The basic notions of construction, validation, and a *posteriori* error analysis are described in [1], with extension to the case of noisy outputs in [2]. The essential element of the framework is a nonparametric validation procedure that leads to a "probably approximately correct" error statement which then serves in the *a posteriori* analysis to estimate system predictability, optimality, and stability.
2. Algorithmic Improvements and Extensions. The basic techniques have been enhanced to permit: unbiased comparison and selection of competing candidate surrogates; elemental cross-validation procedures that permit the same simulation result to serve in both construction and validation; sequential validation techniques that permit construction and validation simulations to be deployed only as necessary, eliminating overconstruction and underconstruction as sources of inefficiency and inaccuracy, respectively; and adaptive construction and validation procedures that, based on local error estimators and sequential concepts, permit simulation results to be deployed only where needed in the design space. In addition, we have developed several new approaches to input noise and stability, simpler optimality metrics, multipoint "nonparametric-average" error estimates, effective error scalings for multiple outputs, and nested validation schemes based on a hierarchy of models. These results are described in [3,4,5].

3. Identification of Critical Issues. Through the work described above, it has become clear that the most important remaining issue is the treatment of problems with many inputs -- a common situation in many industrial situations. We have identified certain new techniques which, as an ensemble, should significantly improve our capability to address such problems.

The first ingredient is a Pareto optimization framework which, based on rational engineering design criteria, identifies and focuses on an appropriate *lower-dimensional* subset of the entire design space. The method has been applied successfully to several problems in optimal control and shape optimization.

The second ingredient is better construction (approximation) techniques that can yield good models based on relatively little expensive-simulation data. Towards this end, we have initiated development of Proper-Orthogonal-Decomposition methods that should perform significantly better than simple input-output interpolant (or fitting) schemes. The essential point is that POD techniques are based on a low-dimensional *state space*, and are thus much better able to capture complex dynamics than techniques which do not exploit the underlying structure of the governing equations. POD techniques should thus offer much better accuracy with less data and fewer modes. Application to several simple problems has demonstrated this claim.

The third ingredient is a new error estimation technique that will significantly decrease the cost of validation by permitting expensive truth calculations to be replaced by inexpensive but accurate lower and upper bounds. The methodology is based upon concepts from partial-differential-equation *a posteriori* error analysis, extended to permit the consideration of realistic (e.g., linear-functional) outputs. At present only a limited number of problems can be treated by this method, but the technique is, in theory, quite general, and should find broad applicability.

The three new ingredients described above have been evaluated in certain simple contexts, but much work remains to extend the ideas so that they can be applied to the complex problems encountered in industrial engineering design and optimization. This is the topic of our renewal grant, recently awarded by AFOSR.

PUBLICATIONS

1. S. Yesilyurt and A.T. Patera, "Surrogates for Numerical Simulations; Optimization of Eddy-Promoter Heat Exchangers," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 121 (1995), pp. 231-257.
2. S. Yesilyurt, C. Ghaddar, M.E. Cruz, and A.T. Patera, "Bayesian-Validated Surrogates for Noisy Computer Simulations; Application to Random Media," *SIAM J. Scientific Computing*, 17 (1996), pp. 973-992.
3. J.C. Otto, M. Paraschivoiu, S. Yesilyurt, and A.T. Patera, "Bayesian-Validated Computer-Simulation Surrogates for Optimization and Design," in *Proceedings ICASE Workshop on Multidisciplinary Design Optimization*, ed. N. Alexandrov, SIAM, Philadelphia, to appear.

4. J.C. Otto, M. Paraschivoiu, S. Yesilyurt, and A.T. Patera, "Bayesian-Validated Computer-Simulation Surrogates for Optimization and Design: Error Estimates and Applications", *IMACS J. Applied Numerical Mathematics*, to appear.
5. S. Yesilyurt, M. Paraschivoiu, J.C. Otto, and A.T. Patera, "Computer-Simulation Response Surfaces: A Bayesian-Validated Approach", in Proceedings Computational Methods in Water Resources XI, eds. Aldama, Aparicio, Brebbia, Gray, Herrera, Pinder, Vol. 1, pp. 13-22, *Computational Mechanics Publications*, Southampton, 1996.